Registered No M.—1175

The Mysore Gazette.

Vol. 60.] PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY. [No. 12.

BANGALORE, THURSDAY, MARCH 19, 1925.

PART III.

Legislative Measures and Rules thereunder.

NOTIFICATIONS.

No. 2653, *dated Bangalore* 26*th February* 1925.

Under Standing Order No. 49 of the standing orders for the conduct of business and procedure of the Legislative Council published in Notification No. P. 4349—Legis. 13-23-24, dated 5th February 1924, the accompanying report of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the Government Securities Regulation, 1894, is published for information.

By Order,

R. Ranga Rao,

Secretary, Legislative Council.

REPORT OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE ON THE BILL TO AMEND THE GOVERNMENT SECURITIES REGULATION, 1894.

We the Members of the Select Committee appointed to consider the report on the Bill to consolidate and amend the law relating to Government Securities beg to recommend that the Bill may be passed with the following changes:—

(1) In section 1, clause (3) substitute the words 'at once' for the words 'on the first day of'

(2) At the end of section 11, add the following :—

Explanation :. The expression 'Hindu undivided family governed by the Mitakshara Law' shall for the purpose of this section, be deemed to include a Malabar *tarwad*.

In view of the fact that we have made no material alteration in the Bill, we do not consider it necessary to publish the Bill as approved by us in the Gazette.

(Sd). MIR HAMZA HUSEIN (*Chairman*).
" K. MATTHAN.
" B. NAGAPPA.
" H. CHENNAYA.
" M. N. KRISHNA RAO.
" H. VENKATARAMIAH. } Signed subject to certain observations appended).
" B. NARASINGA RAO. }

*MR. B. K. GARUDACHAR WAS ABSENT.

†MR. B. NARASINGA RAO'S OBSERVATIONS.

I sign this report subject to the following observations :—

It is of primary and paramount importance to protect the interests of minors and lunatics. Under clause 23 (K), the power to frame rules is sufficiently wide and elastic. I therefore, respectfully recommend that the Government in framing the rules, will make sufficient provision as to the taking of indemnity or security, as the case may be, before the money is being paid to any person who is not a certificated or natural guardian and who may allege himself to be the *de fecto* guardian under whose protection, the minor or lunatic may for the time being remain."

MR. H. VENKATARAMIAH'S OBSERVATIONS.

I sign this report with a note that in rules prescribed for the payment of capital of securities standing or held in the name of a minor or an insane person under section 19, provision has to be made for taking security when the amount exceeds rupees two thousand in order to safeguard the interests of such person.

No. 2650 *dated Bangalore* 26*th February* 1925.

Under Rule 8 of the rules for the conduct of business of the Mysore Legislative Council, the accompanying bill to amend the Mysore Motor Vehicles Regulation, 1913, is published for general information with the statement of objects and reasons.

By Order,
R. RANGA RAO,
Secretary, Legislative Council.

Bill to amend the Mysore Motor Vehicles Regulation, 1913.

Whereas it is expedient to amend the Mysore Motor Vehicles Regulation, 1913, His Highness the Maharaja is pleased to enact as follows :—

For Clause (a) of sub-section (2) of section 9 of the Mysore Motor Vehicles Regulation, 1913, the following clause shall be substituted, namely :—

" (a) registration of motor vehicles and the conditions subject to which such vehicles may be registered, the fees payable in respect of and incidental to registration, the issue of certificates of registration, the notification of any changes of ownership, and the area in which and the duration for which, certificates of registration shall be valid."

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

The Government of India have recently passed an Act amending the Indian Motor Vehicles Act, 1914 for legalising the renewal of registration of motor vehicles. This amendment was necessitated by the decision of the Bombay High Court in Crown *Versus* J. D. Sherston Baker that the rule framed by the Bombay Government in regard to annual registration was *ultra vires*, the local Government not having power to make such a rule under the Act as it stood.

Section 9 (2) clause (a) of the Mysore Motor Vehicles Regulation, 1913 is also defective in this respect. It is therefore proposed to amend that clause so as to make it comprehensive by adopting the provision of section 11; sub-section (2) clause (*a*) of the Indian Motor Vehicles Act of 1914 as it would stand after the amendment.

No. 2791, *dated Bangalore* 11*th March* 1925.

Under Order No. 49 of the Standing Orders for the conduct of business and procedure in the Legislative Council, published with No. P. 4349—Legis. 13-23-24, dated 5th February 1924, the accompanying report of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the law relating to the protection of Inventions and Designs is published for information.

By Order,
R. RANGA RAO,
Secretary, Legislative Council

REPORT OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE.

We, the members of the Select Committee to consider the Bill to amend the law relating to the protection of Inventions and Designs have the honor to submit the following report :—

We considered the Bill at two sittings, at the first of which Dr. L. C. Coleman, one of our members, was unable to be present. With some verbal and typographical alterations, we approve of the Bill as placed before us.

In recommending that the Bill as amended may be passed by the Council, we have two suggestions to offer. Firstly, we think that the Regulation may be called the Mysore Patents and Designs Regulation and may be brought into force after the expiry of three months from the date of the passing thereof. Secondly, we are of opinion that the provision made under clause 67, sub-clause 1, for appeals being filed against an order of the Controller within two months of the date of the order is not very clear. If, for instance, the order is passed by the Controller without the knowledge of the party effected by the order and in his absence, the calculation of two months from the date of the order is likely to cause him some hardship. We therefore suggest that in exercise of the rule-making powers of Government under section 73, a rule should be made that before the Controller passes an order of the kind referred to in section 67 (1) a timely and sufficient notice of the date on which the order is to be passed should be given to the parties who will be affected by it.

We attach to this report a copy of the bill as approved by us. In view of the fact that we have made no material alterations in it, we do not think it necessary to publish the Bill as approved by us in the Gazette.

(Sd.) K. R. SRINIVASA IYENGAR (*Chairman*).
L. C. COLEMAN.
S. G. FORBES.
B. NAGAPPA.
RALPH NYE.
M. RAMACHANDRA RAO.
P. G. D'SOUZA.